arXiv:0909.0143v2 [math.NT] 13 Oct 2009

MODULAR INVARIANT OF QUANTUM TORI

T. M. GENDRON

ABSTRACT. We define analogues of the classical Eisenstein series, Weierstrass function, Weierstrass equation and finally modular invariant for quantum tori.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Manin's *Alterstraum* [17] a framework is proposed for an explicit class field theory of real quadratic fields, in which it is suggested that certain quantum tori should play a role analogous to that of elliptic curves with Complex Multiplication [24]. For this reason, this proposal is sometimes known as the "Real Multiplication (RM) programme": an approach to the Stark conjectures [26] in the case of real quadratic fields which uses notions of noncommutative geometry.

In [17], the quantum torus $\mathbb{T}_\theta$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$, is understood as an object in a category of irrational toric algebras. The quantum torus may also be described in geometric terms as either the quotient $\mathbb{R}/\langle 1, \theta\rangle$ of the reals by the pseudo lattice $\langle 1, \theta\rangle$, or equivalently, as the space of leaves of the Kronecker foliation $\mathscr{F}_\theta$ c.f. [18] or §2 of this paper. However since such spaces have the coarse topology, very little can be said about them using classical geometric techniques.

Fesenko [7], [8] and Taylor [27], [28], [29] advanced the idea of using nonstandard analysis to study the quotient $\mathbb{R}/\langle 1, \theta\rangle$, replacing it by the Hausdorff quotient ${}^*\mathbb{R}_{\rm bnd}/\langle 1, \theta\rangle$, where ${}^*\mathbb{R}_{\rm bnd}$ is the ring of bounded nonstandard reals. In [8] a nonstandard version of the classical Weierstrass $\wp$-function is defined, using an hyperfinite sum associated to a sequence of points in the upper half plane converging to θ.

The goal of the present paper is to provide a new geometric context for RM and a notion of modular invariant for the quantum torus $\mathbb{T}_\theta$, using the fundamental germ of $\mathscr{F}_\theta$ [9], [10], by definition a subgroup of an ultrapower ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$. Inspired by the classical Weber-Fueter theorem, it is hoped that the modular invariant proposed here may lead to an explicit construction of the Hilbert class field of a given real quadratic field.

Our approach also involves nonstandard algebra, but plunges somewhat more deeply into its wilds, replacing the standard yet real pseudo-lattice $\langle 1, \theta\rangle \subset \mathbb{R}$ by the nonstandard yet *integral* fundamental germ [9], [10] of $\mathscr{F}_\theta$. In particular, the fundamental germ of $\mathscr{F}_\theta$ can be identified with the group ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$ of Diophantine approximations of θ, the latter defined here to be

$$ {}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta = \{ {}^*n \in {}^*\mathbb{Z} |\ \theta {}^*n \simeq {}^*n^\perp \in {}^*\mathbb{Z} \} $$

where $\simeq$ is the relation of being asymptotic within ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ = nonstandard reals. If we denote ${}^\bullet\mathbb{R} = {}^*\mathbb{R}/\simeq$ (a real, non-topological vector space), then we may identify

$$ \mathscr{F}_\theta = {}^\bullet\mathbb{R}/{}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta $$

Date: 28 August 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11U10, 11F03, 14H52, 57R30, 11M55.

as groups with a distinguished 1-parameter subgroup corresponding to the leaf through 0. We thus focus on the Kronecker foliation and the nonstandard uniformization provided by its fundamental germ as a suitable analogue of an elliptic curve in this setting, using the group ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$ to introduce nonstandard analogues of the classical Eisenstein series, Weierstrass function, Weierstrass equation and finally modular invariant.

In fact, we shall consider generalized Kronecker foliations (see §§2, 4)

$$\mathscr{F}_{\mu,\theta}$$

of the torus $\mathbb{T}_\mu$ by lines of "μ-slope θ", which are uniformized by groups of the form

$${}^*\Lambda_{\mu,\theta} = \{{}^*n + \mu{}^*n^\perp \,|\, \theta{}^*n \simeq {}^*n^\perp\} \subset {}^*\Lambda_\mu$$

where $\Lambda_\mu = \langle 1, \mu\rangle$ and ${}^*\Lambda_\mu$ is its ultrapower. Alternatively, $\mathscr{F}_{\mu,\theta}$ is completely determined by the pair consisting of $\mathbb{T}_\mu$ and the 1-parameter subgroup of μ-slope θ through 0, a kind of continuous version of the notion of one-dimensional complex torus equipped with a cyclic subgroup of order N. The moduli space $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$ of generalized Kronecker foliations fibers over the classical moduli space of tori Mod, and can be identified with the "signed" Anosov type foliation

$$\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash(\pm\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1).$$

In this way, $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$ may be regarded as a continuous version of the level N moduli spaces $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}$. The j-invariant we define will be a function of this space.

We construct our nonstandard avatar of the classical Eisenstein series by considering an analogue of its defining double-series in our geometric context. For a hyperfinite subset of $[F_i] \subset {}^*\Lambda_\mu$, we consider the associated partially summed Eisenstein series $G_{[F_i]}(k)$, which gives an element of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ = nonstandard complexes. Varying over the set D of all such hyperfinite $[F_i]$, we obtain a net of hyperfinite partially summed Eisenstein series. This net defines a section $\check{G}(k)$ of the sheaf ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}$ of ultrapowers of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ over the Stone space $\mathsf{Ult}(D)$ of ultrafilters on D.

If we restrict the section $\check{G}(k)$ to the subspace $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$ of cone ultrafilters (c.f. §3.1), one obtains a section all of whose values are asymptotic to the value of the classical Eisenstein series $G(k)$. On the other hand, for each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}$, there is a subspace $\mathsf{Cone}(D)_\theta$ consisting of those ultrafilters which recognize the cone filter on the set of hyperfinite subsets contained in ${}^*\Lambda_{\mu,\theta}$. This space is disjoint from $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$, and the restriction of $\check{G}(k)$ to it provides us with the θ-quantum version of the Eisenstein series.

In order to recover uniformizing group invariance – lost, since we have not take the full sum – we must quotient out by the diagonal shift action of ${}^*\Lambda_\mu$ on ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}$ (in the classical case) or ${}^*\Lambda_{\mu,\theta}$ (in the quantum case). To recover the usual automorphy condition, we similarly divide out by the diagonal action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$. The equivalence classes obtained in this way define transversals in "ultrasolenoid" quotients of ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}$. Details may be found in §§5, 6.

We apply a similar recipe to the formal double series of the classical Weierstrass $\wp$-function (with a slight modification) and obtain in our geometric context its nonstandard avatar $\check{\wp}$ which gives rise to an associated *Weierstrass infinitesimal equation* (see §7, Definition 3), the latter containing coefficients involving the Eisenstein series of "weight" $k=1$. The restricted pair of sections $(\check{\wp},\check{\wp}')|_{\mathsf{Cone}(D)}$ yields a solution to the infinitesimal Weierstrass equation (see Theorem 3) and it is conjectured that the same is true of the restriction $(\check{\wp},\check{\wp}')|_{\mathsf{Cone}(D)_\theta}$.

The modular invariant is defined using the particular form of the Weierstrass infinitesimal equation, and provides functions j^{class} resp. j^{quant} of Mod resp. $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$ each of which agrees in form with the usual formula for j, see Theorem 5 of §8. We have moreover that

$j^{\rm class}$ is asymptotic to the classical j-invariant, see Corollary 1 of §8. When $\mu = i$ – the case of classical Kronecker foliations – the value of $j^{\rm quant}(i,\theta)$ is real, and we conjecture in this case that it is infinitesimal to a standard real.

What follows is a summary of the contents of the paper. In §2 we discuss generalized Kronecker foliations and their moduli. In §3 we review nonstandard mathematics, and in §4 we define the groups of Diophantine approximations of generalized Kronecker foliations. In §5 we define ultrasolenoids and ultratransversals, and in §§6-8 we define the Eisenstein series, Weierstrass function and equation and modular invariant, respectively, of a generalized Kronecker foliation.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank 1) B. Zil'ber for having introduced him to the ideas of Fesenko and Taylor, and 2) R. Kossak for having presciently pointed out the paper [16]. This work was supported in part by the author's Conacyt and Papiit research grants.

2. QUANTUM TORI AND KRONECKER FOLIATIONS

Consider the Kronecker foliation $\mathscr{F}_\theta$ of slope θ in the torus $\mathbb{T}_i = \mathbb{C}/\langle 1, i\rangle$, i.e. the image in $\mathbb{T}_i$ of the foliation of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ by lines of slope θ. The leaf space of $\mathscr{F}_\theta$ may be identified with the quotient group $\mathbb{T}_i/L_\theta$, where L_θ is the leaf through the origin, a 1-parameter subgroup of $\mathbb{T}_i$. When $\theta \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$, L_θ is dense in $\mathbb{T}_i$ so that the leaf space is non Hausdorff.

On the other hand, let $\Lambda_\theta = \langle 1, \theta\rangle \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the pseudo lattice generated by 1 and θ. As discussed in the Introduction, the quantum torus associated to $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ may be defined as the following quotient:

$$\mathbb{T}_\theta = \mathbb{R}/\Lambda_\theta.$$

When θ is irrational, this is a non Hausdorff topological group. It will be convenient for us to allow θ to be rational as well, in which case one obtains the circle.

Proposition 1. *The leaf space of $\mathscr{F}_\theta$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}_\theta$.*

Proof. Writing $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, consider the suspension

$$(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1)/\mathbb{Z},$$

where the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ is diagonal: $n\cdot(r, s+\mathbb{Z}) = (r+n, (x-\theta n)+\mathbb{Z})$. The suspension defines a linear foliation of $\mathbb{T}_i$: the image of the product foliation $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1$, whose leaves are of the form $\mathbb{R}\times\{s+\mathbb{Z}\}$. There is an isomorphism of the Kronecker foliation $\mathscr{F}_\theta$ with this foliation, induced by $\mathbb{C}\to(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1)$, $r+is \mapsto (r, s-r\theta+\mathbb{Z})$. Through this identification, one sees that the leaf space of $\mathscr{F}_\theta$ is canonically isomorphic to the quotient group $\mathbb{S}^1/\langle\theta+\mathbb{Z}\rangle$. But the latter is clearly canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}_\theta$. $\square$

The Kronecker foliation has an obvious generalization in which one replaces $\mathbb{T}_i$ by any complex torus

$$\mathbb{T}_\mu = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_\mu$$

where $\Lambda_\mu = \langle 1, \mu\rangle$ and where $\mu \in \mathbb{H}$ = the hyperbolic plane. Given $\theta \in \mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\} \approx \mathbb{S}^1$, let $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ be the foliation of $\mathbb{C}$ defined by the translates of the line of "μ-slope θ",

$$\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}\cdot(1+\theta\mu) & \text{if } \theta \neq \infty \\ \mathbb{R}\cdot\mu & \text{if } \theta = \infty \end{cases}$$

The image $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ of $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ in $\mathbb{T}_\mu$ is called a *generalized Kronecker foliation* of slope θ and modulus μ. Alternatively, $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ is completely determined by the pair

$$\big(\mathbb{T}_\mu, L_{(\mu,\theta)}\big)$$

consisting of the complex torus and the distinguished 1-parameter subgroup

$$L_{(\mu,\theta)} = \text{image of the line } \tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} = \text{leaf through } 0.$$

The reader may think of this as a continuous generalization of the notion of a complex torus equipped with a distinguished finite subgroup of order N.

As in [17], it will be convenient to allow the parameter μ to take values in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ as well. If we denote by $\pm\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}\cup\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ then $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\pm\mathbb{H}$ by isometries and we recover by quotient the classical moduli space of complex tori

$$\mathrm{Mod} := \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H} \approx \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash\pm\mathbb{H}.$$

The Kronecker foliation $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ for $\mu\in\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is defined exactly as in the case of $\mu\in\mathbb{H}$. Note that for all $(\mu,\theta)\in\pm\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1$ we have the equality

$$\mathscr{F}_{(-\mu,-\theta)} = \mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}.$$

This equality remains true for $\theta=\infty$ (which has no sign).

Let $(\mu,\theta),(\mu',\theta')\in\pm\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1$. We say that the Kronecker foliations $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu',\theta')}$ are equivalent if there exists a homothety $z\mapsto\lambda z$ inducing an isomorphism of complex tori that transports $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ to $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu',\theta')}$: or equivalently, inducing an isomorphism of pairs

$$f:(\mathbb{T}_\mu, L_{(\mu,\theta)}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{T}_{\mu'}, L_{(\mu',\theta')}).$$

Note that this notion of equivalence is formally in agreement with that used for pairs of tori and finite subgroups of a fixed order N.

In what follows, for any $A\in\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, denote by A^{-T} the transformation defined by the inverse of the transpose of a matrix in the projective class of A: note that $(AB)^{-T} = A^{-T}B^{-T}$.

Proposition 2. *$\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu',\theta')}$ if and only if there exists $A\in\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$\mu' = A(\mu) \quad \textit{and} \quad \theta' = A^{-T}(\theta).$$

Proof. Assume first that $\mu,\mu'\in\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu',\theta')}$ are isomorphic via the homothety defined by $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda\cdot\Lambda_\mu = \Lambda_{\mu'}$. Then we have

$$\lambda\mu = a\mu' + b \qquad \lambda = c\mu' + d$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus $\mu = B(\mu')$ or $\mu' = B^{-1}(\mu)$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda\cdot\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} &= \mathbb{R}\cdot((c\mu'+d)+\theta(a\mu'+b)) \\
&= \mathbb{R}\cdot((b\theta+d)+(a\theta+c)\mu') \\
&= \mathbb{R}\cdot(1+B^T(\theta)\mu') \\
&= \tilde{L}_{(B^{-1}(\mu),\, B^T(\theta))}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that multiplication by λ induces an equivalence of foliations

$$\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{(B^{-1}(\mu),B^T(\theta))}.$$

Writing $A = B^{-1}$ we obtain the form of equivalence stated in the Proposition.

In case $(\mu',\theta') = (-\mu,-\theta)$ then we take

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$$

and noting that $A = A^T = A^{-1}$, we have $(A(\mu), A^{-T}(\theta)) = (-\mu,-\theta)$. The argument above is symmetric, so that if there exists $A \in \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mu' = A(\mu)$ and $\theta' = A^{-T}(\theta)$, then the corresponding Kronecker foliations are equivalent. $\square$

The moduli space of isomorphism classes of quantum tori is usually defined [18]

$$\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) - \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$$

which may be viewed as a kind of boundary of the classical moduli space Mod. Note that $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ is itself a noncommutative space since $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acts densely on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$. It will be convenient for us to slightly modify this definition and define instead

$$\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{qt}} := \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$$

including the rational points as ”singular quantum tori”.

By Proposition 1, the moduli space of generalized Kronecker foliations is the “signed” Anosov foliation

$$\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}} = \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash(\pm\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1)$$

where $A \in \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acts by

$$A\cdot(\mu,\theta) = (A(\mu), A^{-T}(\theta)).$$

We regard the images of $\pm\mathbb{H}\times\{\theta\}$ in $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$ as the leaves. This foliation fibers over $\mathsf{Mod} = \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash\pm\mathbb{H}$, in which the fiber $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}_{[i]}$ over the class $[i] \in \mathsf{Mod}$ parametrizes the “classical” Kronecker foliations. We have the following moduli space analogue of Proposition 1:

Proposition 3. *The leaf space of* $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$ *is in canonical bijection with* $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{qt}}$. $\square$

Proof. Since the fiber $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}_{[i]}$ is a complete transversal of the foliation $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$, the leaf space of $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$ may be identified with the set of leaf classes of elements of $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}_{[i]}$. The latter is the image of $\{i\}\times\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \pm\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1$ under the suspension quotient. In particular, two points of $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}_{[i]}$ lie on the same leaf if and only if their preimages (i,θ), $(i,\theta') \in \{i\}\times\mathbb{S}^1$ satisfy $\theta' = A(\theta)$ for some $A \in \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acting projective linearly on $\mathbb{S}^1 \approx \mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$. Thus the leaf space of $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$ may be put in canonical bijection with $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{S}^1 \approx \mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{qt}}$. $\square$

Note 1. We may think of $\mathsf{Mod}^{\mathrm{kf}}$ as a “continuous version” of the moduli space $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}$ that classifies isomorphism classes of ordered pairs (E,C), where E is an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{C}$, and C is a cyclic subgroup of E of order N.

3. NONSTANDARD STRUCTURES

Let D be a discrete, infinite directed set, for example: $\mathbb{N}$ = the natural numbers, or $\mathsf{Fin}(A)$ = the set of finite subsets of A (directed by inclusion), where A is an infinite set.

3.1. **Ultrafilters and Stone Spaces.** We recall that a filter on D is a subset $\mathfrak{f}\subset 2^D$ such that

- $\mathfrak{f}$ does not contain the empty set.
- If $X,Y\in\mathfrak{f}$ then $X\cap Y\in\mathfrak{f}$.
- If $X\in\mathfrak{f}$ and $Y\supset X$ then $Y\in\mathfrak{f}$.

Dually the set of complements

$$I_{\mathfrak{f}} := \{X \mid D-X\in\mathfrak{f}\}$$

is a proper ideal in the Boolean algebra 2^D. A maximal filter $\mathfrak{u}$ is called an ultrafilter, whose set of complements $I_{\mathfrak{u}}$ is a maximal ideal of 2^D. A filter $\mathfrak{f}$ is called nonprincipal if there exists no $X\in\mathfrak{f}$ with $X\subset Y$ for all $Y\in\mathfrak{f}$, or dually, if $I_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is a nonprincipal ideal. See [13] for more details.

If one has a family $\mathscr{A}\subset 2^D$ of subsets not containing the empty set and satisfying the finite intersection property, there is a unique minimal filter containing $\mathscr{A}$, the filter $\langle\mathscr{A}\rangle$ generated by $\mathscr{A}$. For example, if $\gamma\in D$ and $\hat{\gamma}=\{\gamma'\geq\gamma\}$ is the cone over γ, then by directedness $\mathscr{A}=\{\hat{\gamma}\}$ satisfies the finite intersection property and we will call $\mathfrak{c}=\mathfrak{c}_D=\langle\mathscr{A}\rangle$ the *cone filter* on D. Note that $\mathfrak{c}$ is nonprincipal: indeed, if there were a set X contained in all members of $\mathfrak{c}$, then for any $\gamma_0\in X$ and $\gamma>\gamma_0$ we would have $X\not\subset\hat{\gamma}\in\mathfrak{c}$. An ultrafilter $\mathfrak{u}\supset\mathfrak{c}$ will be called a cone ultrafilter[1]. We note that cone ultrafilters are also nonprincipal.

The set of ultrafilters $\mathsf{Ult}(D)$ on D, equipped with the topology generated by the opens

$$V_X=\{\mathfrak{u}\mid X\in\mathfrak{u}\},\quad X\in 2^D$$

is called the Stone space of D [14]. One has that $V_X^{\complement}=V_{X^{\complement}}$ where $\complement$ means complement, so that the V_X are also closed. With this topology, $\mathsf{Ult}(D)$ is totally-disconnected and compact, homeomorphic to the Stone-Cech compactification of D or dually, to the space of maximal ideals $\mathsf{Spec}(2^D)$ equipped with the dual Stone topology. The isolated points are the principal ultrafilters.

The subspace $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$ of cone ultrafilters is closed since

$$\mathsf{Cone}(D)=\bigcap_{\gamma\in D}V_{\hat{\gamma}}.$$

In addition, $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$ is perfect as all of its elements are nonprincipal ultrafilters, hence are non-isolated points. In particular, $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$ is a Cantor set (of cardinality possibly greater than that of the continuum).

3.2. **Ultraproducts.** Fix an index set D. Let L be a first order language and let M_ι, $\iota\in D$, be a family of L-structures (*i.e.* a family of groups, rings, fields, *etc*) [2], [18]. Then the *reduced product* [6] of the M_ι with respect to $\mathfrak{f}$ a filter on D is the L-structure

$$[M_\iota]_{\mathfrak{f}} := \prod M_\iota/\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}$$

where $(x_\iota)\sim_{\mathfrak{f}}(x'_\iota)$ if and only if $\{\iota\mid x_\iota=x'_\iota\}\in\mathfrak{f}$. If $M_\iota=M$ for all ι, the reduced product is denoted

$${}^*M_{\mathfrak{f}}$$

and called the *reduced power* of M with respect to $\mathfrak{f}$. If $\mathfrak{f}=\mathfrak{u}$ is an ultrafilter, the reduced product (reduced power) is called an *ultraproduct* (*ultrapower*).

By Løs' Theorem [2], the ultrapower ${}^*M_{\mathfrak{u}}$ is an elementary extension of M, where the embedding $M\hookrightarrow{}^*M_{\mathfrak{u}}$ is given by the constant nets. What this means is that ${}^*M_{\mathfrak{u}}$ is a

---

[1] The ultraproduct proof of the compactness theorem of first order logic uses a cone ultrafilter on $D=\mathsf{Fin}(T)$ where T is a finitely satisfiable first order theory [21].

nonstandard model of M *i.e.* it satisfies the same set of L-sentences as M. In particular if M is a group, ring or field than so is ${}^*M_{\mathfrak{u}}$. As one varies the ultrafilter, one obtains a sheaf

$$ {}^*\check{M} \to \mathsf{Ult}(D) $$

whose fiber over $\mathfrak{u}$ is ${}^*M_{\mathfrak{u}}$, c.f. [16].

Note 2. If one assumes the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) and M is countable, then any two nonprincipal ultrafilters produce isomorphic ultrapowers [2]. More generally, if the complete theory of M is uncountably categorical (*e.g.* take $M = \mathbb{C}$), then again assuming the CH, the nonprincipal fibers of ${}^*\check{M}$ will all be isomorphic, though not canonically so [19]. We will not, however, assume CH in this article.

If $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ is a nonprincipal ultrafilter of I, then we will often suppress the ultrafilter in our notation and denote the ultrapower

$$ {}^*M := {}^*M_{\mathfrak{u}}, $$

informally referring to it as "nonstandard M"; its elements will then be denoted ${}^*x$, representatives of which are sequences in M.

3.3. **The Extended Reals.** We now turn to some specific ultrapowers which will be of interest to us: the nonstandard versions of the integers, the rationals, the reals and the complexes related in the usual way:

$$ {}^*\mathbb{Z} \subset {}^*\mathbb{Q} \subset {}^*\mathbb{R} \subset {}^*\mathbb{C}. $$

Note that each of these structures contains classes corresponding to unbounded sequences, and are therefore non-Archimedean (as rings or as fields). In addition, ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$, ${}^*\mathbb{Q}$ and ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ are linearly ordered [22], [11], and the least upper bound property does not hold in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$.

It can be easily checked that the field ${}^*\mathbb{Q}$ is the field of fractions of the subring ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$. In addition, ${}^*\mathbb{Q}$ is also the field of fractions of another, *local* subring, defined as follows. Let $|\cdot|$ be the Archimedean absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $|\cdot|$ induces in ${}^*\mathbb{Q}$ a nonstandard absolute value with values in ${}^*\mathbb{R}_+$ = the nonnegative elements of ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. The set of bounded elements

$$ {}^*\mathbb{Q}_{\rm bnd} = \{ {}^*q \in {}^*\mathbb{Q} \mid \text{ there exists } r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ such that } |{}^*q| < r \} $$

is a local ring with maximal ideal the set of infinitesimals

$$ {}^*\mathbb{Q}_{\varepsilon} = \{ {}^*q \in {}^*\mathbb{Q} \mid \text{ for all non-0 } r \in \mathbb{R}_+,\ |{}^*q| < r \}. $$

We shall write ${}^*x \simeq {}^*y$ whenever ${}^*x - {}^*y \in {}^*\mathbb{Q}_{\varepsilon}$ and say that ${}^*x$ and ${}^*y$ are infinitesimal to one another. We shall also refer to such a relation as an infinitesimal equation.

There is a canonical epimorphism

$$ \mathrm{std} : {}^*\mathbb{Q}_{\rm bnd} \to \mathbb{R} $$

called the *standard part map*: for any ${}^*q \in {}^*\mathbb{Q}_{\rm bnd}$, $\mathrm{std}({}^*q)$ is defined to be the unique accumulation point of any representative sequence $\{q_i\}$ recognized by the ultrafilter. More precisely, for any representative sequence $\{q_i\}$, there exists $X \in \mathfrak{u}$ such that $\{q_i\}|_X$ converges to a point $\mathrm{std}({}^*q) \in \mathbb{R}$, which depends neither on $\{q_i\}$ nor on X [22].

The kernel of std is ${}^*\mathbb{Q}_{\varepsilon}$ so that we have an isomorphism of fields

$$ {}^*\mathbb{Q}_{\rm bnd}/{}^*\mathbb{Q}_{\varepsilon} \cong \mathbb{R}. $$

One may compare this situation with that of the p-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$, where the quotient of the ring of integers by its maximal ideal is the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ with p elements.

Extending $|\cdot|$ to ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, we define in the same way the local ring ${}^*\mathbb{R}_{\rm bnd}$ with maximal ideal ${}^*\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$ obtaining ${}^*\mathbb{R}_{\rm bnd}/{}^*\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon \cong \mathbb{R}$. We may similarly recover $\mathbb{C}$ from the quotient ${}^*\mathbb{C}_{\rm bnd}/{}^*\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon$ where ${}^*\mathbb{C}_{\rm bnd}$, ${}^*\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon$ are defined using the usual absolute value in $\mathbb{C}$.

The quotient

$$^\bullet\mathbb{R} := {}^*\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon \cong {}^*\mathbb{Q}/{}^*\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon$$

is a real vector space (but not a topological vector space with respect to the quotient order topology) which we shall call the *extended reals* [9], [10]. Note that ${}^\bullet\mathbb{R}$ contains $\mathbb{R}$ canonically, and also ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$ since ${}^*\mathbb{Z}\cap{}^*\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon = \{0\}$. We will view ${}^\bullet\mathbb{R}$ as "foliated" by the cosets ${}^\bullet x+\mathbb{R}$. The subring ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$ defines a transversal (in the sense that it has non trivial and discrete intersection with each coset leaf ${}^\bullet x+\mathbb{R}$) and the "leaf space" may be identified with ${}^*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, which a priori is not endowed with any particular topology. We define the extended complex numbers ${}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$ in exactly the same way.

4. DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION GROUPS

Fix ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$ a nonstandard ring of integers and let $(\mu,\theta)\in\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1$. Notice that since $\Lambda_\mu\subset\mathbb{C}$ is discrete, the ultrapower ${}^*\Lambda_\mu$ is naturally a subgroup of the vector space ${}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$. In the Proposition which follows, we shall endow ${}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$ with the the euclidean topology along its coset leaves ${}^\bullet z+\mathbb{C}$ and the discrete topology transversally.

Proposition 4. *The quotient*

$$^\bullet\mathbb{C}/{}^*\Lambda_\mu$$

is a topological group topologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}_\mu$.

Proof. We note that $({}^\bullet\mathbb{C},+)$ is a topological group. In addition, ${}^*\Lambda_\mu$ is a complete transversal for ${}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$, so that every ${}^\bullet z\in{}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$ can be translated by an element of ${}^*\Lambda_\mu$ to $\mathbb{C}\subset{}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$. Since ${}^*\Lambda_\mu\cap\mathbb{C}=\Lambda_\mu$, then ${}^\bullet\mathbb{C}/{}^*\Lambda_\mu=\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_\mu$ and the claim follows. ☐

Let us define the extended line of μ-slope θ as

$$^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} := {}^\bullet\mathbb{R}\cdot(\theta\mu+1)\subset{}^\bullet\mathbb{C}.$$

Note that this set is well-defined: ${}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$ is a complex vector space and therefore, the expression ${}^\bullet r(\theta\mu+1)$ makes sense as $(\theta\mu+1)\in\mathbb{C}$.

We now consider the notion of Diophantine approximation adopted in [9], [10] [2].

Definition 1. We say that ${}^*n\in{}^*\mathbb{Z}$ is a **Diophantine approximation** of θ (relative to μ) if there exists ${}^*m\in{}^*\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$^*m\mu+{}^*n\in{}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)}\cap{}^*\Lambda_\mu. \tag{1}$$

The next Proposition shows that the condition (1) depends only on θ.

Proposition 5. *The pair* $({}^*m,{}^*n)$ *defines a Diophantine approximation of* θ *relative to* μ *if and only if its coordinates satisfy the following infinitesimal equation in* ${}^*\mathbb{R}$:

$$^*n\theta\simeq{}^*m. \tag{2}$$

---

[2] It should be pointed out that the term "Diophantine approximation" generally refers to a subject, and is not ordinarily given the status of a formal mathematical definition in standard references such as [1], [15], [23]. The definition given below and in [9], [10] is in keeping the the general philosophy of the theme, in the sense that it includes all sequence classes corresponding to those generally studied in the field of Diophantine approximation.

Proof. Indeed, ${}^*n$ is a Diophantine approximation of θ relative to $\mu = a+ib$ if and only if there exists ${}^*r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$ {}^*m\mu + {}^*n \simeq {}^*r(\theta\mu+1). $$

Separating into real and imaginary parts gives the pair of infinitesimal equations

$$ {}^*ma + n \simeq {}^*r(\theta a+1) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^*mb \simeq {}^*r\theta b. \tag{3} $$

The second equation of (3) is equivalent to ${}^*m \simeq {}^*r\theta$, which, when plugged back into the first equation of (3), gives

$$ {}^*ma + n \simeq {}^*r\theta a + {}^*r \simeq {}^*ma + {}^*r $$

or ${}^*r \simeq {}^*n$. Plugging the latter into ${}^*m \simeq {}^*r\theta$ gives (2). Conversely, if $({}^*m, {}^*n)$ satisfies (2), then taking ${}^*r = {}^*n$ gives the pair of equations (3), which imply the condition (1). ☐

It is clear from (2) that the collection of Diophantine approximations of θ relative to μ forms a subgroup of ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$ denoted

$$ {}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta, $$

which is independent of μ. Note that this group is uncountably infinite and torsion-free.

Theorem 1. *The group* ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$ *is an ideal in* ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$ *if and only if* $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$. *If* $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{S}^1$ *satisfy* $A(\theta) = \theta'$ *for some* $A \in \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ *then* ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$ *is isomorphic to* ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_{\theta'}$.

This result can be found in [9]. For the convenience of the reader, we include here a

Proof. If $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$, then a pair ${}^*n, {}^*m$ satisfies (2) if and only if we have equality: ${}^*n\theta = {}^*m$. Such an equality is invariant with respect to multiplication by elements of ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$, which shows that ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$ is an ideal. If $\theta \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ and ${}^*n \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$ then by irrationality we can find ${}^*N \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ such that ${}^*N{}^*n\theta$ contains a representative sequence asymptotic mod $\mathbb{Z}$ to any element of $\mathbb{S}^1$ we choose. If this element is not 0, then ${}^*N{}^*n \notin {}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$, showing that ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$ is not an ideal.

Let

$$ A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} $$

be a (representative of an) element of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$. If $({}^*m, {}^*n)$ satisfies (2) then

$$ \begin{pmatrix} {}^*m' \\ {}^*n' \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} {}^*m \\ {}^*n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a{}^*m + b{}^*n \\ c{}^*m + d{}^*n \end{pmatrix} $$

satisfies the analogue of (2) for $A(\theta)$. Indeed, ${}^*n'A(\theta) \simeq {}^*m'$ if and only if ${}^*n'(a\theta+b) \simeq {}^*m'(c\theta+d)$. Here we are using the fact that an infinitesimal equation is invariant with respect to multiplication by ordinary real numbers: this because ${}^*\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$ is an ideal in ${}^*\mathbb{R}_{\text{bnd}}$. But the latter infinitesimal equation simplifies to ${}^*n\theta \simeq {}^*m$. ☐

The element ${}^*m$ associated to ${}^*n$ is unique: we refer to it as the dual of ${}^*n$ and use the notation

$$ {}^*n^\perp := {}^*m. $$

The set of duals ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta^\perp$ is a group canonically isomorphic to ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta$ and equal to ${}^*\mathbb{Z}_{\theta^{-1}}$. In addition, the collection $\mu \cdot {}^*n^\perp + {}^*n$ defines a subgroup

$$ {}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)} \subset {}^*\Lambda_\mu $$

called the group of (μ,θ)-fractions, and the map ${}^*n \mapsto \mu \cdot {}^*n^\perp + {}^*n$ defines an isomorphism

$$ {}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta \longrightarrow {}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}. $$

There is a natural homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{std}_{(\mu,\theta)} : {}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_\mu$$

defined as follows. Take a representative sequence $\{r_\alpha\} \in {}^\bullet r \in {}^\bullet\mathbb{R}$, and consider the image in $\mathbb{T}_\mu$ of the sequence

$$\{r_\alpha \cdot (\theta\mu + 1)\}$$

in the leaf $L_{(\mu,\theta)} \subset \mathbb{T}_\mu$ through the origin. Since $\mathbb{T}_\mu$ is compact, the ultrafilter will recognize a unique limit point of this sequence, which is independent of the choice of $\{r_\alpha\} \in {}^\bullet r$ (again, see [22] for more on this compactness principle). We define

$$\mathrm{std}_{(\mu,\theta)}(({}^\bullet r \cdot (\theta\mu + 1))$$

to be this limit point.

Notice that the leaves of ${}^\bullet\mathbb{R}$ gives rise to leaves of ${}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)}$, defined as the scalar multiples of the form $(\theta\mu+1)\cdot({}^\bullet r + \mathbb{R})$. We note that the leaf corresponding to ${}^\bullet r = 0$ is the line $\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} \subset \mathbb{C}$ which was defined in §2: that is we have $\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} \subset {}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)}$. The map $\mathrm{std}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ transports these leaves to the leaves of the associated Kronecker foliation $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$.

Theorem 2. *If θ is irrational then* $\mathrm{std}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ *is surjective with kernel* ${}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$.

Proof. Surjectivity follows from the density of $L_{(\mu,\theta)}$ in $\mathbb{T}_\mu$. The map $\mathrm{std}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ coincides with the restriction of the epimorphism ${}^\bullet\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{T}_\mu$ of Proposition 4 to the subspace ${}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)}$, so that the kernel is ${}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} \cap {}^*\Lambda_\mu = {}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$. ☐

Thus we have the "foliated group" isomorphisms

$${}^\bullet\mathbb{R}/{}^*\mathbb{Z}_\theta \cong {}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)}/{}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)} \cong \mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}.$$

Here we point out that the last isomorphism is not topological: nevertheless it is possible to put on ${}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ a new transverse topology so that the action of ${}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$ is by homeomorphisms, and that the quotient ${}^\bullet\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)}/{}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$ becomes a foliation isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$, see [9], [10]. If we denote ${}^*\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)} = (1+\theta\mu)\cdot{}^*\mathbb{R} \subset {}^*\mathbb{C}$ then the space

$${}^*\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)} := {}^*\tilde{L}_{(\mu,\theta)}/{}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$$

will be viewed as a foliation of the nonstandard torus ${}^*\mathbb{T}_\mu = {}^*\mathbb{C}/{}^*\Lambda_\mu$ all of whose leaves are isomorphic to ${}^*\mathbb{R}$.

5. ULTRASOLENOIDS

As in the previous section we denote by ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$, ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ the ultrapowers of $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to a fixed nonprincipal ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Let A be a set, ${}^*A_\mathfrak{u}$ be an arbitrary ultrapower with respect to some index set D and ultrafilter $\mathfrak{u}$. Recall that a *hyperfinite* subset [11], [22] of ${}^*A_\mathfrak{u}$ is an ultraproduct of the form

$$[F_\iota]_\mathfrak{u} \subset [A_\iota = A]_\mathfrak{u} = {}^*A_\mathfrak{u}$$

where $F_\iota \subset A$ is finite for all $\iota \in D$. Note that every finite subset of ${}^*A_\mathfrak{u}$ is hyperfinite.

Consider now the directed set

$$D = \big\{\text{hyperfinite subsets } [F_i] \subset {}^*\mathbb{Z}^2\big\}.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{c}$ the cone filter on D, which we recall was defined in §3 as the (nonprincipal) filter generated by the cones

$$\mathsf{cone}([F_i]) = \big\{[F_i'] \,\big|\, [F_i] \subset [F_i']\big\}.$$

Let $\mathsf{Ult}(D)$ be the Stone space of ultrafilters on D, and denote by $\mathsf{Cone}(D) \subset \mathsf{Ult}(D)$ the subspace of ultrafilters extending $\mathfrak{c}$. Each element $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathsf{Cone}(D)$ is nonprincipal, thus $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$ is a Cantor set. Observe that ${}^*\mathbb{Z}^2$ acts on $\mathsf{Ult}(D)$ via translation, $\mathfrak{u} \mapsto \mathfrak{u} + ({}^*m, {}^*n)$, and this action preserves $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$. For us the importance of the cone ultrafilters is that they will provide partial summation schemes that correspond well to the classical notion of a convergent infinite series.

Following [16], we will define a sheaf of ultrapowers of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathsf{Ult}(D)$. Thus, for each $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathsf{Ult}(D)$, denote by ${}^\diamond\mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{u}$ the ultrapower of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{u}$, and denote by

$$ {}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathsf{Ult}(D) $$

the associated sheaf of ultrapowers.

Let ${}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}$ be the ${}^*\mathbb{C}$-algebra of set-theoretic sections of ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}$: the ${}^*\mathbb{C}$-algebra structure comes from the fact that ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ is canonically included in each fiber ${}^\diamond\mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{u}$ (via the constant net inclusion), so that in particular, each fiber is a ${}^*\mathbb{C}$-vector space. In particular, we have canonical algebra inclusions

$$ \mathbb{C} \subset {}^*\mathbb{C} \subset {}^\diamond\check{\Gamma} $$

defined by the constant sections. Finally, denote by ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}$ the restriction of ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$. Denote by ${}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}^{\,\mathrm{cone}}$ the sections of ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}$. There is a canonical algebra epimorphism ${}^\diamond\check{\Gamma} \to {}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}^{\,\mathrm{cone}}$ given by restriction.

We will now define quotients of the above mentioned sheaves by groups acting diagonally along the base *and* the fiber, which as such, will no longer be sheaves but rather solenoid like objects, in a sense to be made precise below. The reason for doing this will be to ensure that the summation objects we define in the sequel (e.g. Weierstrass $\wp$-functions) become invariant with respect to translation in ${}^*\Lambda_\mu$ resp. ${}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$.

We begin by defining a diagonal action of ${}^*\mathbb{Z}^2$ on ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}$ by shifting net classes: if $\{{}^*z_{[F_i]}\}$ is a net representing the class ${}^\diamond z \in {}^\diamond\mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{u}$ and if $\{(m_i,n_i)\}$ is a sequence representing $({}^*m,{}^*n)$ then

$$ \{{}^*w_{[F_i]}\} := \{{}^*z_{[F_i+(m_i,n_i)]}\} $$

yields a well-defined element of ${}^\diamond\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}-({}^*m,{}^*n)}$. Indeed, suppose that $\{{}^*z'_{[F_i]}\}$ is another net representing ${}^\diamond z$. Then there is a set $X \in \mathfrak{u}$ of hyperfinite sets such that

$$ \{{}^*z_{[F_i]}\}|_X = \{{}^*z'_{[F_i]}\}|_X . $$

It follows then that we have

$$ \{{}^*w_{[F_i]}\}|_{X-({}^*m,{}^*n)} = \{{}^*z_{[F_i]}\}|_X = \{{}^*z'_{[F_i]}\}|_X = \{{}^*w'_{[F_i]}\}|_{X-({}^*m,{}^*n)} . $$

This action maps fibers of ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}$ onto fibers by ${}^*\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphisms. If ${}^\diamond z_\mathfrak{u} \in {}^\diamond\mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{u}$, we denote by $({}^*m,{}^*n)\cdot{}^\diamond z_\mathfrak{u} \in {}^\diamond\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}-({}^*n,{}^*m)}$ its image under the action of $({}^*m,{}^*n)$. Notice then that we have

$$ ({}^*m,{}^*n)\cdot\mathsf{Cone}(D) = \mathsf{Cone}(D) $$

so that this action preserves the sheaf ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}$.

There is also an induced action on the ${}^*\mathbb{C}$-algebra ${}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}$. If $f : \mathsf{Ult}(D) \to {}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}$ is a section then $g = ({}^*m,{}^*n)\cdot f$ is defined

$$ g(\mathfrak{u}) = ({}^*m,{}^*n)\cdot f(\mathfrak{u} + ({}^*m,{}^*n)). $$

This action is also by ${}^*\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphisms, and preserves as well the algebra ${}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}^{\,\mathrm{cone}}$ of sections of ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}$.

Definition 2. The quotients

$$^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}} := {}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}/{}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2 \supset {}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}} := {}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}/{}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2$$

are called **ultrasolenoids**. The quotients

$$^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma} := {}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}/{}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2 \twoheadrightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{cone}} := {}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{cone}}/{}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2$$

are called the spaces of **ultratransversals** (of the corresponding ultrasolenoids).

Note that the ultratransversals are ${}^{*}\mathbb{C}$-algebras in which there are canonical inclusions of $\mathbb{C}$ and ${}^{*}\mathbb{C}$.

Note 3. The ultrasolenoids defined above are sheaf theoretic generalizations of the classical solenoid

$$\widehat{\mathbb{S}} = (\mathbb{R} \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}})/\mathbb{Z}$$

where $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the profinite completion of $\mathbb{Z}$ (a Cantor group) and the action is diagonal. We think of the images of the fibers ${}^{\diamond}\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ as the "leaves" of ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ (each of which is foliated by its ${}^{*}\mathbb{C}$-cosets). Recall from Note 2 that assuming the Continuum Hypothesis, the "leaves" of ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ will be isomorphic to one another, though not canonically so. This motivates our foliated view of these quotients. In particular, the elements of ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}$ are complete transversals of ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

We now define ultrasolenoids that correspond to elements $\theta \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$. Let

$${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\theta} = \{({}^{*}n^{\perp}, {}^{*}n) | {}^{*}n \in {}^{*}\mathbb{Z}_{\theta}\} < {}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Let $D_{\theta} \subset D$ be the subset of hyperfinite subsets contained in ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\theta}$. Let $\mathfrak{c}_{\theta}$ be the cone filter of D_{θ}. Denote by

$$\mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta} \subset \mathsf{Ult}(D)$$

the subspace of ultrafilters $\mathfrak{u}$ *of* D that extend $\mathfrak{c}_{\theta}$. Intuitively, the ultrafilters belonging to $\mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta}$ are those ultrafilters on D that are capable of observing the group ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\theta}$.

Proposition 6. *Let* $\theta, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^1$ *be distinct. Then*

$$\mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta} \cap \mathsf{Cone}(D) = \emptyset = \mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta} \cap \mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\eta}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta} \cap \mathsf{Cone}(D)$ so that $\mathfrak{u}$ contains both $\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\theta}$. Let $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset {}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2$ be a finite set containing a non zero element (m,n). Then $\mathsf{cone}(F) \in \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{u}$ and each element of $\mathsf{cone}(F)$ is a subset of ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2$ which contains (m,n). On the other hand, for any $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\theta} \subset \mathfrak{u}$, X cannot contain any subsets which contain (m,n), as the irrationality of θ means that every non-zero element of ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\theta}$ belongs to ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2 - \mathbb{Z}^2$. In particular we must have that $X \cap \mathsf{cone}(F)$ is empty, contradicting the fact that $\mathfrak{u}$ is an ultrafilter.

Now for all $\theta \neq \eta$ we have

$${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\theta} \cap {}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\eta} = (0,0).$$

Indeed, if $({}^{*}n^{\perp}, {}^{*}n) \in {}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\theta}$ then $\theta \simeq {}^{*}n^{\perp}/{}^{*}n$ so that it is not possible to also have $\eta \simeq {}^{*}n^{\perp}/{}^{*}n$ for $\theta \neq \eta$. In particular $D_{\theta} \cap D_{\eta} = \{(0,0)\}$ and therefore

$$\mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta} \cap \mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\eta} = \emptyset.$$

□

Denote by

$${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta}$$

the restriction of ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta}$ and by

$${}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta}$$

its algebra of sections. The group ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^{2}_{\theta}$ acts diagonally on ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta}$ and on ${}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta}$ with quotients denoted

$${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta}, \quad {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta}.$$

There is a canonical inclusion

$${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta} \rightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}$$

whose image is disjoint from that of ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}$ by Proposition 6. Thus we may view ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta}$ and ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}$ as disjoint subsolenoids of ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. We also have an epimorphism of ${}^{*}\mathbb{C}$-algebras

$${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma} \longrightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\theta}.$$

As mentioned earlier, the ultrasolenoids we have just constructed have the purpose of insuring that objects such as the analogue of the $\wp$-function enjoy invariance with respect to the groups ${}^{*}\Lambda_{\mu}$ resp. ${}^{*}\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$. On the other hand, in order to obtain the desired $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$-automorphy of the nonstandard modular invariant defined in §8, we must also quotient by the diagonal actions of elements of the group $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

We note that the left action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ induces one on $\mathsf{Ult}(D)$, which preserves $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$ and identifies $\mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta}$ with $\mathsf{Cone}(D)_{A(\theta)}$. We may now define a diagonal action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on the sheaf ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}$: if $\{{}^{*}z_{[F_i]}\}$ represents an element of ${}^{\diamond}\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ then

$$\{{}^{*}w_{[F_i]}\} := \{{}^{*}z_{[AF_i]}\}$$

represents an element of ${}^{\diamond}\mathbb{C}_{A^{-1}\mathfrak{u}}$. As before, since this action is essentially a shift, it acts by ${}^{*}\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphisms along the fibers of ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}$. There is also an induced action on elements of ${}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}$ defined

$$f \mapsto g = Af, \quad g(\mathfrak{u}) = A \cdot f(A\mathfrak{u})$$

which defines an ${}^{*}\mathbb{C}$-isomorphism of ${}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}$ that acts as the identity on the constant sections ${}^{*}\mathbb{C} \subset {}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}$.

Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the group generated by the diagonal actions of ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathscr{G}$ has the same cardinality as ${}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and acts by isomorphisms along the fibers of ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}$. We form the quotient with respect to this group, obtaining an ultrasolenoid denoted

$${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathscr{G}} := {}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}/\mathscr{G}$$

with corresponding ${}^{*}\mathbb{C}$-algebra of ultratransversals

$${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathscr{G}} := {}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}/\mathscr{G}.$$

Since the action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ preserves $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$ we have the associated cone subsolenoid ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\mathscr{G}}$, as well as the expected epimorphism ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathscr{G}} \rightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{cone}}_{\mathscr{G}}$ onto the cone transversals.

To obtain the θ versions of these solenoids, we must proceed more carefully. Let us denote

$$\mathsf{Cone}(D)^{\mathrm{quant}} = \bigcup \mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta}$$

which by Proposition 6 is a disjoint union that is disjoint from $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$. Let ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{quant}}$ be the restriction of ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathsf{Cone}(D)^{\mathrm{quant}}$. Note that the action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ preserves the sheaf ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{quant}}$ and acts by isomorphisms on its algebra of sections ${}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{quant}}$.

On the other hand, If $({}^{*}m, {}^{*}n) \in {}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^{2}_{\theta}$, it is *not* the case that the translation action of $({}^{*}m, {}^{*}n)$ on ultrafilters preserves $\mathsf{Cone}(D)^{\mathrm{quant}}$. Instead, we will regard $({}^{*}m, {}^{*}n)$ as providing a partially-defined action on this set, where we define $({}^*m,{}^*n)+\mathfrak{u}$ only for $\mathfrak{u}\in\mathsf{Cone}(D)_\theta$. Observe in this case we have $({}^*m,{}^*n)+\mathfrak{u}\in\mathsf{Cone}(D)_\theta$.

On the sheaf ${}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\rm quant}$ we let $\mathscr{R}^{\rm quant}$ be the *relation* generated by the actions of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and the partially defined actions of the elements of ${}^*\mathbb{Z}^2_\theta$ for $\theta\in\mathbb{S}^1$. In other words, we identify ${}^\diamond z_{\mathfrak{u}}$ and ${}^\diamond z'_{\mathfrak{u}'}$ if there exists a finite chain of transformations given by the actions of elements of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and the partially defined actions of elements of the ${}^*\mathbb{Z}^2_\theta$ taking ${}^\diamond z_{\mathfrak{u}}$ to ${}^\diamond z'_{\mathfrak{u}'}$. Note that this can happen if and only if $\mathfrak{u}\in\mathsf{Cone}(D)_\theta$ and $\mathfrak{u}'\in\mathsf{Cone}(D)_{\theta'}$ with $\theta'=A(\theta)$ for some $A\in\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

The relation $\mathscr{R}^{\rm quant}$ identifies fibers isomorphically with fibers and the quotient

$$ {}^\diamond\hat{\mathbb{C}}^{\rm quant} = {}^\diamond\check{\mathbb{C}}^{\rm quant}/\mathscr{R}^{\rm quant} $$

will also be referred to as an ultrasolenoid. The relation $\mathscr{R}^{\rm quant}$ induces a relation of sections preserving the ${}^*\mathbb{C}$-algebra structure, and we write the resulting quotient algebra

$$ {}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm quant} = {}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}^{\rm quant}/\mathscr{R}^{\rm quant}. $$

In this spirit, we will also write

$$ {}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm class} := {}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm cone}_{\mathscr{G}}. $$

Finally, we note that we have a pair of epimorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} & {}^\diamond\check{\Gamma} \arrow[dl, "\pi"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi_\theta"] & \\ {}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm class} & & {}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm quant} \end{tikzcd}$$

given by the compositions

$$ {}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}\longrightarrow{}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}^{\rm cone}\longrightarrow{}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm class},\quad {}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}\longrightarrow{}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}^{\rm cone}_\theta\longrightarrow{}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm quant}. $$

Rougly speaking, the general philosophy will be as follows: we will define "universal" invariants which by definition will be elements of ${}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}$, whose

- Projections via π yield ultratransversals in ${}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm class}$ corresponding to classical invariants of elliptic curves.
- Projections via π_θ yield ultratransversals in ${}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm quant}$ which *define* the corresponding invariants for the θ-quantum tori.

6. EISENSTEIN ULTRATRANSVERSALS

We continue to fix as before ultrapowers ${}^*\mathbb{Z}\subset{}^*\mathbb{Q}\subset{}^*\mathbb{R}\subset{}^*\mathbb{C}$. In this section we associate to every $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and each pair $(\mu,\theta)\in\pm\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1$ an analogue of the classical Eisenstein series, defined as an ultratransversal

$$ {}^\diamond\hat{G}^{\rm quant}_k(\mu,\theta)\in{}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\rm quant}. $$

Fix $\mu\in\pm\mathbb{H}$. For each hyperfinite set $[F_i]\in D$ and $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ consider the hyperfinite sum [11]

$$ G_k(\mu)_{[F_i]} = \sum_{[F_i]}({}^*m\mu+{}^*n)^{-2k} := \ast\text{-class of } \left\{ \sum_{(m_i,n_i)\in F_i}(m_i\mu+n_i)^{-2k}\right\} \in{}^*\mathbb{C}. $$

Note that this expression is well-defined even for $k<2$, in contrast with the classical situation. For example, when $k=0$, we have

$$ G_0(\mu)_{[F_i]} = \text{ hypercardinality of } [F_i] = \ast\text{-class of } \{|F_i|\}. $$

The D-net

$$\left\{G_k(\mu)_{[F_i]}\right\} \tag{4}$$

defines as described in the previous section an element ${}^{\diamond}\check{G}_k(\mu) \in {}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}$. We thus obtain a function

$${}^{\diamond}\check{G}_k : \pm\mathbb{H} \longrightarrow {}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}.$$

Denote by

$${}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k^{\mathrm{class}} : \pm\mathbb{H} \longrightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{class}}$$

the composition of ${}^{\diamond}\check{G}_k$ with the projection $\pi : {}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma} \longrightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{class}}$.

Proposition 7. *${}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k^{\mathrm{class}}$ is a modular form of weight k in the sense that*

$$(A'(\mu))^k \cdot {}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k^{\mathrm{class}}(A\mu) = {}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k^{\mathrm{class}}(\mu)$$

for all $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. We will show that in ${}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}$

$$(A'(\mu))^k \, {}^{\diamond}\check{G}_k(A\mu) = A^T \cdot {}^{\diamond}\check{G}_k(\mu).$$

We calculate at the level of the net (4): for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}(A'(\mu))^k G_k(A\mu)_{[F_i]} &= (c\mu+d)^{-2k} \sum_{[F_i]} \left({}^*m\left(\frac{a\mu+b}{c\mu+d}\right) + {}^*n \right)^{-2k} \\ &= \sum_{[F_i]} \big((a^*m + c^*n)\mu + (b^*m + d^*n) \big)^{-2k} \\ &= G_k(\mu)_{A^T[F_i]}\end{aligned}$$

from which the statement follows. ☐

For $k \geq 2$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{H}$, let $G_k(\mu)$ be the usual Eisenstein series. Since $\mathbb{C} \subset {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{class}}$ we may view G_k as defining a family of "constant transversals"

$$G_k : \mathbb{H} \to {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{class}}.$$

Or put another way, $G_k(\mu)$ may be identified with the projection by π of the constant section

$$\mathfrak{u} \to G_k(\mu) \in \mathbb{C} \subset {}^{\diamond}\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}, \quad \mathfrak{u} \in \mathsf{Ult}(D).$$

In what follows, for any pair of sections $f, g : \mathsf{Ult}(D) \to {}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}$ we write $f \simeq g$ if $f - g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon} \subset {}^*\mathbb{C} \subset {}^{\diamond}\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ for all $\mathfrak{u}$. Notice that this relation is preserved by the action of $\mathscr{G}$, giving rise to the relation of infinitesimality of transversals in ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{class}}$.

Proposition 8. *For all $\mu \in \pm\mathbb{H}$,*

$$G_k(\mu) \; \simeq \; {}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k(\mu)^{\mathsf{class}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathsf{Cone}(D)$. It will be enough to check that for any finite subset $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ that the net of hyperfinite sums over elements in $\mathsf{cone}(F)$ converges to $G_k(\mu)$. This is certainly true if we restrict to the subnet of all *finite* subsets $F' \supset F$, because the classical Eisenstein series converges. The result then follows. ☐

The proof of the Proposition 8 illustrates the importance of cone ultrafilters: they are the ones that recognize classically convergent infinite series.

Define

$$ {}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k^{\mathrm{quant}} : \pm\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{quant}}, \quad (\mu,\theta)\mapsto \pi_\theta({}^{\diamond}\check{G}_k(\mu)). $$

With the action of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on $\pm\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{S}^1$ defined as in §2, we have the automorphy

$$ (A'(\mu))^k\cdot{}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k(A(\mu,\theta)) = {}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k(\mu,\theta). $$

Let ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{R}}\subset{}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}$ be the sheaf of real points, and denote by ${}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}(\mathbb{R})$ the sections with values in ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{R}}$. Let ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{quant}}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the associated real points in ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{quant}}$. For the value $\mu=i$, it is well-known that the classical Eisenstein series is real valued. For the same reasons we have the following important reality result for the classical Kronecker foliations (those corresponding to pairs (i,θ)):

Proposition 9. *For all k and $\theta\in\mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}$,*

$$ {}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k^{\mathrm{quant}}(i,\theta)\in{}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{quant}}(\mathbb{R}). $$

Proof. As before, we work on the level of the defining net (4). We consider any subnet

$$ \left\{ \sum_{[F_i]} ({}^*n+{}^*n^{\perp}i)^{-2k} \right\} $$

where $[F_i]$ range over the elements of some $X\in\mathfrak{c}_\theta$. Taking the conjugate yields

$$ \Big\{ \sum_{[F_i]} ({}^*n-{}^*n^{\perp}i)^{-2k} \Big\} = \Big\{ \sum_{[F_i]} ({}^*n+{}^*n^{\perp}A(i))^{-2k} \Big\} $$

where A is the element of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ defining $z\mapsto -z$. It follows then by the automorphy that ${}^{\diamond}\hat{G}_k^{\mathrm{quant}}(i,\theta)$ is equal to its own conjugate. ☐

7. THE WEIERSTRASS FUNCTION AND INFINITESIMAL EQUATION

In this section we define a Weierstrass function and equation for a generalized Kronecker foliation using the Eisenstein series defined in the previous section. As in the previous section, we will define the Weierstrass function using nets of hyperfinite partial sums. In order to get the right invariance property with respect to ${}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$ (and so obtain a function defined on ${}^*\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)}$ = nonstandard Kronecker foliation defined at the end of §4) we will need to alter the usual formulation of Weierstrass' function by dropping the "correction terms", which are at any event not necessary since we are not taking the full sum. This change in Weierstrass function will give rise to a change in the Weierstrass equation.

Let D be as in the previous section, and denote by ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}\cup\{\infty\}$ the sphere sheaf over $\mathsf{Ult}(D)$: which has fiber over $\mathfrak{u}$ the set ${}^{\diamond}\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}\cup\{\infty\}$. Denote by ${}^{\diamond}\check{\mathbb{C}}\cup\{\infty\}$ the set of sections. We have in much the same way the associated set of transversals ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{class}}\cup\{\infty\}$ and $\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{quant}}\cup\{\infty\}$.

The nonstandard $\wp$-function with *no correction terms*

$$ {}^{\diamond}\check{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}} : {}^*\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow{}^{\diamond}\check{\Gamma}\cup\{\infty\}, \tag{5} $$

is the section associated to the net of hyperfinite sums, whose $[F_i]$ component is

$$ \wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_{[F_i]}({}^*z) = \sum_{({}^*m,{}^*n)\in[F_i]} ({}^*z-({}^*m\mu+{}^*n))^{-2} $$

where $[F_i]$ is a hyperfinite subset of ${}^*\mathbb{Z}^2$. Note that ${}^\diamond\check{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}}$ induces a function ${}^\diamond\hat{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}}$ of the nonstandard, classical torus

$${}^\diamond\hat{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}} : {}^*\mathbb{T}_\mu = {}^*\mathbb{C}/{}^*\Lambda_\mu \longrightarrow {}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{class}} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

We remark that it is not possible to define in this way a $\wp$-function having domain ${}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$, since the latter is not even a ring, so that in particular, the rational terms appearing in the defining sum of ${}^\diamond\check{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}}$ would not make sense for ${}^\bullet z \in {}^\bullet\mathbb{C}$.

To discover the appropriate notion of Weierstrass equation in this setting, we study the Weierstrass function without correction terms in the case of the elliptic curve $\mathbb{T}_\mu$, in which we restrict attention to nets indexed by finite sets $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. For each such F and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the partially summed Weierstrass function without correction terms

$$\wp_F^{\mathsf{nc}}(z) = \sum_{(m,n)\in F} (z-(m\mu+n))^{-2}.$$

If we denote

$$g_{1,F} = \sum_{F-(0,0)} (m\mu+n)^{-2}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_F(z) = \wp(z) - (\wp_F^{\mathsf{nc}}(z) - g_{1,F})$$

(where $\wp(z)$ is the usual Weierstrass function) then

$$\wp(z) = \wp_F^{\mathsf{nc}}(z) - g_{1,F} + \varepsilon_F(z), \qquad \wp'(z) = (\wp_F^{\mathsf{nc}})'(z) + \varepsilon_F'(z). \tag{6}$$

If we write

$$F \to \mathbb{Z}^2$$

to mean that F eventually contains any finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, then we have that $\varepsilon_F(z)$ converges to 0 uniformly on compacta in $\mathbb{C} - \Lambda_\mu$ as $F \to \mathbb{Z}^2$.

We adopt notation in this setting similar to that found in §5, that is, let $\mathfrak{c}$ be the cone filter on $D = \mathsf{Fin}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ = set of finite subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and call an ultrafilter $\mathfrak{u} \supset \mathfrak{c}$ a cone ultrafilter, denoting the space of cone ultrafilters by $\mathsf{Cone}(D) \subset \mathsf{Ult}(D)$. We denote by ${}^\circ\mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{u}$ the ultraproduct of $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathsf{Ult}(D)$, and consider as well the set ${}^\circ\mathbb{C}_\mathfrak{u} \cup \{\infty\}$. The sheaves ${}^\circ\check{\mathbb{C}}$, ${}^\circ\check{\mathbb{C}} \cup \{\infty\}$, as well as their spaces of sections ${}^\circ\check{\Gamma}$, ${}^\circ\check{\Gamma} \cup \{\infty\}$ are defined as in previous paragraphs. The quotients of these spaces by the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are denoted by replacing "checks" by "hats".

It follows then that the Weierstrass function without correction terms gives rise to a function

$${}^\circ\check{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}} : \mathbb{C} \to {}^\circ\check{\Gamma} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

For each $m\mu + n \in \Lambda_\mu$ and $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ finite we have the following invariance property:

$$\wp_F^{\mathsf{nc}}(z + (m\mu+n)) = \wp_{F+(m,n)}^{\mathsf{nc}}(z).$$

Thus, we obtain a map

$${}^\circ\hat{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}} : \mathbb{T}_\mu \longrightarrow {}^\circ\hat{\Gamma} \cup \{\infty\}$$

which is induced by the postcomposition of ${}^\circ\check{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}}$ with the projection

$${}^\circ\check{\Gamma} \cup \{\infty\} \to {}^\circ\hat{\Gamma} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Recall that if

$$g_2 = g_2(\mu) = 60G_2(\mu), \quad g_3 = g_3(\mu) = 140G_3(\mu)$$

are the normalized values of the Eisenstein series associated to Λ_μ then $(\wp,\wp')$ satisfies the (affine) Weierstrass equation

$$E_\mu(X,Y)=Y^2-4X^3+g_2X+g_3=0.$$

We will derive from this equation an infinitesimal equation involving only ${}^\circ\check{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}}$ and $({}^\circ\check{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}})'$ by evaluating the Weierstrass equation in the expressions (6), and examining carefully the nature of the resulting nets of equations.

We begin by writing

$$\begin{aligned}\Big((\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F)'(z)+\varepsilon'_F(z)\Big)^2 \quad = \quad & 4\Big(\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)-g_{1,F}+\varepsilon_F(z)\Big)^3 \\ & -\Big(g_{2,F}+\delta_{2,F}\Big)\Big(\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)-g_{1,F}+\varepsilon_F(z)\Big)-\Big(g_{3,F}+\delta_{3,F}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

where the δ terms correspond to the F-tails of the "lower case" Eisenstein series: that is,

$$g_2=g_{2,F}+\delta_{2,F}\quad\text{and}\quad g_3=g_{3,F}+\delta_{3,F}.$$

Developing the powers in parentheses and moving the terms which give rise to obviously non infinitesimal nets to the left hand side, we obtain on the left hand side of the equation:

$$\begin{aligned}&(\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F)'(z)^2-4\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)^3+4g^3_{1,F}+12\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)^2g_{1,F}-12\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)g^2_{1,F}\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad +g_{2,F}\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)-g_{1,F}g_{2,F}+g_{3,F}\end{aligned}$$

In the Theorem that follows, we show that the remaining terms that have been segregated on the right hand side define infinitesimals in ${}^\circ\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$, for any $\mathfrak{u}\in\mathsf{Cone}(D)$.

Denote by

$${}^\circ g_i\in{}^\circ\hat{\Gamma}$$

the ultratransversals (i.e. section classes) defined by the nets $\{g_{i,F}\}$, $i=1,2,3$. Write

$${}^\circ E_\mu(X,Y)=Y^2-4X^3+12\,{}^\circ g_1X^2-\Big(12{}^\circ g_1^2-{}^\circ g_2\Big)X+\Big(4{}^\circ g_1^3-{}^\circ g_1{}^\circ g_2+{}^\circ g_3\Big)$$

Definition 3. The **infinitesimal Weierstrass equation** associated to μ is

$${}^\circ E_\mu(X,Y)\simeq 0.$$

Denote by ${}^\circ E^{\text{class}}_\mu(X,Y)$ the restriction of the coefficients of ${}^\circ E_\mu(X,Y)$ to $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$.

Theorem 3. *The restriction* $({}^\circ\wp^{\mathsf{nc}},({}^\circ\wp^{\mathsf{nc}})')|_{\mathsf{Cone}(D)}$ *is a solution of the* classical *infinitesimal Weierstrass equation*

$${}^\circ E^{\text{class}}_\mu(X,Y)\simeq 0.$$

Proof. We must show that the terms not included in the polynomial ${}^\circ E_\mu(X,Y)$ give rise to infinitesimals in ${}^\circ\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$, for all $\mathfrak{u}\in\mathsf{Cone}(D)$. These terms are the nets with F-components:

$$-\varepsilon'_F(z)^2,\ -2\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)'\varepsilon'_F(z)\quad\text{primed terms}$$

$$12\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)^2\varepsilon_F(z),\ 12\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z)\varepsilon_F(z)^2,\ 12g^2_{1,F}\varepsilon_F(z),\ -12g_{1,F}\varepsilon^2_F(z),\ 4\varepsilon_F(z)^3\quad\text{degree 3 terms}$$

$$-\delta_{2,F}\wp^{\mathsf{nc}}_F(z),\ \delta_{2,F}g_{1,F},-\ \delta_F(2)\varepsilon_F(z)\quad\text{degree 2 terms}$$

$$-\delta_{3,F}\quad\text{degree 1 terms}$$

Note first that $\varepsilon'_F(z)$ is the F-tail of the convergent derivative $\wp'(z)$, and so tends to 0 as $F \to \mathbb{Z}^2$. Thus, the first prime term gives rise to an infinitesimal in ${}^\circ\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ and the second primed term as well, since it is a product of an infinitesimal in ${}^\circ\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ with a bounded element. Consider now the product $\wp_F^{\mathsf{nc}}(z)\varepsilon_F(z)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $(0,0) \in F$. In addition, in the lines that follow, we write $\gamma \in F$ to mean $\gamma = m\mu + n \in \Lambda_\mu$ and $(m,n) \in F$. Then we have as $F \to \mathbb{Z}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_F^{\mathsf{nc}}(z)\varepsilon_F(z) &= \left(\wp_F(z) + \sum_{\gamma\in F-0}\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)\left(\sum_{0\neq\eta\notin F}\frac{1}{(z-\eta)^2} - \frac{1}{\eta^2}\right) \\ &\to \left(\sum_{\gamma\in F-0}\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)\left(\sum_{0\neq\eta\notin F}\frac{1}{(z-\eta)^2} - \frac{1}{\eta^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

since $\wp_F(z)$ defines a bounded net for $z \notin \Lambda_\mu$ and $\varepsilon_F(z) \to 0$.

We may without loss of generality assume that F is the set of all integer points in the $2M \times 2M$ square P_M centered at the origin: that is, F consists of the tuples (m,n) where $|m|,|n| \leq M$. Then

$$\sum_{\gamma\in F-0}\left|\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right| < C\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{1}{j} < C\log m$$

where C is a constant that does not depend on m. On the other hand, we have that the second factor involving η is dominated by the integral

$$\int\int_{\mathbb{C}-P_m}\frac{dx\,dy}{(x+iy)^3} = O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right)$$

It follows that $\wp_F^{\mathsf{nc}}(z)\varepsilon_F(z) \to 0$ as $F \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ and gives rise to an infinitesimal in any $\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ for $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathsf{Cone}(D)$. Using similar estimates, it is straight forward to check that all of the terms of degrees 1–3 give rise to infinitesimals in $\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ as well. □

We now return to the "diamond" ultrapowers and the Weierstrass function (5) defined at the beginning of this section. Define in ${}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}$ the relation of ***-asymptocity**,

$$\eta \simeq_* \eta',$$

if for each non zero ${}^*\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathsf{Ult}(D)$, $|\eta(\mathfrak{u}) - \eta'(\mathfrak{u})| < {}^*\varepsilon$. This relation descends to one in ${}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{class}}$. The infinitesimal Weierstrass equation

$${}^\diamond E_\mu(X,Y) \simeq_* 0$$

has exactly the same form as that defined above, only we replace $\simeq$ by $\simeq_*$ and circles by diamonds, and its coefficients belong to ${}^\diamond\check{\Gamma}$. Denoting as before ${}^\diamond E_\mu^{\text{class}}(X,Y)$ the polinomial obtained by projecting the coefficients of ${}^\diamond E_\mu(X,Y)$ to ${}^\diamond\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{class}}$, we have the following extension of Theorem 3 to the diamond setting, whose proof is left to the reader:

Theorem 4. *The restriction* $({}^\diamond\hat{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}}, ({}^\diamond\hat{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}})')|_{\mathsf{Cone}(D)}$ *is a solution of the* $*$*-infinitesimal Weierstrass equation*

$${}^\diamond E_\mu^{\text{class}}(X,Y) \simeq_* 0.$$

We now extend these ideas to the quantum torus setting. By restricting the composition

$${}^*\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{{}^\diamond\check{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}}} {}^\diamond\check{\Gamma} \cup \{\infty\} \xrightarrow{\pi_\theta} \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{quant}} \cup \{\infty\}$$

to the nonstandard line ${}^*L_{(\mu,\theta)}$ and quotienting by the action of ${}^*\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$, we obtain a function

$${}^\diamond\hat{\wp}^{\mathsf{nc}}_{(\mu,\theta)} : {}^*\mathscr{F}_{(\mu,\theta)} \longrightarrow \hat{\Gamma}^{\text{quant}} \cup \{\infty\},$$

the *Weierstrass function* of the nonstandard Kronecker foliation. If we replace the ${}^{\diamond}\check{g}_k(\mu)$ coefficients by their θ-quantum counterparts ${}^{\diamond}\hat{g}_k^{\mathrm{quant}}(\mu,\theta)$ we obtain as well the associated infinitesimal Weierstrass polynomial

$${}^{\diamond}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{quant}}_{(\mu,\theta)}(X,Y).$$

Lemma 1. *For all $k \geq 1$, $[F_i] \subset {}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\theta}$ and ${}^{*}z \in {}^{*}L_{(\mu,\theta)} - {}^{*}\Lambda_{(\mu,\theta)}$,*

$${}^{\diamond}\check{g}_k(\mu,\theta) \simeq 0 \simeq {}^{\diamond}\check{\wp}^{\mathrm{nc}}_{(\mu,\theta)}({}^{*}z).$$

Proof. Every $[F_i] \subset {}^{*}\mathbb{Z}^2_{\theta}$ has the property that $[F_i]$ contains no finite integer pair (m,n) with the possible exception of $(0,0)$. As the elements of $[F_i]$ consist of Diophantine approximations of θ, for i large there exists a sequence δ_i such that for all $(m,n) \in F_i$

$$m\mu + n \sim n(1+(\theta+\delta_i)\mu)$$

where $\delta_i \to 0$. Then we have for all $k \geq 1$

$$g_k(\mu)_{[F_i]} \simeq \left[\frac{1}{(1+\theta\mu)^{2k}} \sum_{F_i} \frac{1}{n^{2k}}\right] \simeq 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\wp^{\mathrm{nc}}_{[F_i]}({}^{*}z) - g_1(\mu)_{[F_i]} = \wp_{[F_i]}({}^{*}z) \simeq 0$$

since $[F_i]$ consists of purely infinite elements: that is to say, for any natural number N and i sufficiently large, all of the elements of F_i have norm larger N. Since we have just shown that $g_1(\mu)_{[F_i]} \simeq 0$, the result follows. $\square$

As a corollary, we have that the pair $({}^{\diamond}\wp^{\mathrm{nc}}_{(\mu,\theta)}, {}^{\diamond}\wp'_{(\mu,\theta)})$ trivially satisfies the infinitesimal Weierstrass equation

$${}^{\diamond}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{quant}}_{(\mu,\theta)}(X,Y) \simeq 0,$$

since by Lemma 1, $({}^{\diamond}\wp^{\mathrm{nc}}_{(\mu,\theta)}, {}^{\diamond}\wp'_{(\mu,\theta)})$, as well as all of the coefficients in ${}^{\diamond}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{quant}}_{(\mu,\theta)}(X,Y)$, are $\simeq 0$.

On the other hand, it is not the case that the coefficients in ${}^{\diamond}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{quant}}_{(\mu,\theta)}(X,Y)$ are $\simeq_{*} 0$. This motivates the following

Conjecture. *The pair $({}^{\diamond}\wp^{\mathrm{nc}}_{\mu,\theta}, {}^{\diamond}\wp'_{\mu,\theta})$ is a solution to the $*$-infinitesimal equation*

$${}^{\diamond}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{quant}}_{(\mu,\theta)}(X,Y) \simeq_{*} 0.$$

8. THE MODULAR INVARIANT

In this section we define the analogue of the modular invariant for the quantum torus. For the time being, we shall drop the arguments μ and (μ,θ) that appear in the coefficients of the Weierstrass polynomial, as the considerations which follow do not depend upon them.

Performing a change in variable as in [25], page 46, produces a Weierstrass polynomial in normal form with cubic term coeficient equal to 1:

$$Y^2 - X^3 - a_2X^2 - a_4X - a_6$$

where

$$a_2 = -3{}^{\diamond}g_1, \quad a_4 = \left(3{}^{\diamond}g_1^2 + {}^{\diamond}g_2/4\right)$$

and

$$a_6 = -\left({}^{\diamond}g_1^3 - \frac{{}^{\diamond}g_1 {}^{\diamond}g_2}{4} + \frac{{}^{\diamond}g_3}{4} \right).$$

We define the modular invariant in this context to be that formed from the coeficients of the above polinomial following the usual recipe e.g. that described in [12]: defining

$${}^{\diamond}j(\mu) := 12^3 \frac{c_4^3}{c_4^3 - c_6^2}$$

where

$$c_4 = (4a_2)^2 - 24 \cdot 2a_4 \quad \text{and} \quad c_6 = -(4a_2)^3 + 36(4a_2)(2a_4) - 216(4a_6).$$

Theorem 5. *The modular invariant of the infinitesimal Weierstrass equation* ${}^{\diamond}E_{\mu}(X,Y)$ *is*

$${}^{\diamond}j = 12^3 \cdot \frac{{}^{\diamond}g_2^3}{{}^{\diamond}g_2^3 - 27 {}^{\diamond}g_3^3}$$

coinciding with the form of the classical invariant.

Proof. We have

$$c_4 = (4a_2)^2 - 24 \cdot 2a_4 = 144 {}^{\diamond}g_1^2 - 48(3 {}^{\diamond}g_1^2 - {}^{\diamond}g_2/4) = 12 {}^{\diamond}g_2.$$

Similarly it can be checked that

$$c_6 = -(4a_2)^3 + 36(4a_2)(2a_4) - 216(4a_6) = 216 {}^{\diamond}g_3$$

from which the result follows. □

We now re-introduce the μ, (μ, θ) notation, as well as the restrictions that define the classical and quantum invariants. Since the automorphies of the numerator and denominator cancel, we obtain functions

$${}^{\diamond}j^{\text{class}} : \mathsf{Mod} \longrightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{class}}$$

and

$${}^{\diamond}j^{\text{quant}} : \mathsf{Mod}^{\text{kf}} \longrightarrow {}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{quant}}.$$

Since ${}^{\diamond}g_2 \simeq g_2$ and ${}^{\diamond}g_3 \simeq g_3$ for any ultrafilter in $\mathsf{Cone}(D)$, we have immediately:

Corollary 1. *Let* $j(\mu)$ *be the usual* j*-invariant of the elliptic curve* $\mathbb{T}_{\mu}$ *viewed as a constant transversal in* ${}^{\diamond}\hat{\Gamma}^{\text{class}}$. *Then* ${}^{\diamond}j^{\text{class}}(\mu) \simeq j(\mu)$.

Note that by Proposition 9, the image of $\mathsf{Mod}^{\text{kf}}_{[i]}$ = the fiber over $[i]$ by ${}^{\diamond}j^{\text{quant}}$ belongs to the real locus.

Conjecture. *Every element of* ${}^{\diamond}j^{\text{quant}}(\mathsf{Mod}^{\text{kf}}_{[i]})$ *is infinitesimal to a standard real.*

It is hoped that if the conjecture indeed turns out to be true, that in the case of θ a real quadratic irrationality, ${}^{\diamond}j^{\text{quant}}(i,\theta)$ would then be infinitesimal to an algebraic number, and that this algebraic number could be used to generate the Hilbert class field of the field extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$.

REFERENCES

[1] Cassels, J.W.S., *An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, no. 35, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1957.

[2] Chang, C.C. & Keisler, H.J., *Model Theory*. 3rd Ed. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics **73**, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.

[3] Connes A. & Marcolli, M. *Noncommutative Geometry, Quantum Fields and Motives*, AMS Colloquium Publications **55**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2008.

[4] Connes A., Marcolli, M. & Ramakrishnan, D., KMS states and complex multiplication I, *Selecta Math.* (New Ser.) **11** (2005), no. 3-4, 325-347.

[5] Connes A., Marcolli, M. & Ramakrishnan, D., KMS states and complex multiplication II, in "Operator Algebras: The Abel Symposium 2004", pp. 15-59, Abel Symp. **1**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.

[6] Eklov, P.C., Ultraproducts for algebraists. in *Handbook of Mathematical Logic* (J. Barwise, ed.), Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, pp. 105-137, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.

[7] Fesenko, I.B., Model theory guidance in number theory? In "Model Theory with Applications to Algebra and Analysis", LMS Lecture Note Series **349**, pp. 327-334 eds. Z. Chatzidakis, D. Macpherson, A. Pillay, A. Wilkie, Cambr. Univ. Press, Cambridge U.K.,2008.

[8] Fesenko, I.B., Several nonstandard remarks, In "AMS/IP Advances in the Mathematical Sciences", AMS Transl. Series 2, **217** (2006), 37-50.

[9] Gendron, T.M., The algebraic theory of the fundamental germ. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **37** (2006), no. 1, 49–87.

[10] Gendron, T.M., The geometric theory of the fundamental germ. *Nagoya Math. J.* **190** (2008), 1–34.

[11] Goldblatt, R., *Lectures on the Hyperreals: An Introduction to Nonstandard Analysis.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **188**, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[12] Husemoller, D., *Elliptic Curves*, 2nd Ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **111**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

[13] Jech, T., *Set Theory.* Third Millenium Edition. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.

[14] Johnstone, T., *Stone Spaces.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 3, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1983.

[15] Lang, S., *Introduction to Diophantine Approximations.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1966.

[16] MacIntyre, A., Nonstandard analysis and cohomology. Nonstandard methods and applications in mathematics, 174–191, Lect. Notes Log., 25, Assoc. Symbol. Logic, La Jolla, CA, 2006.

[17] Manin, Yu. I., Real multiplication and noncommutative geometry. in "The Legacy of Niels Henrik Abel", pp. 685-727, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

[18] Marcolli, M., *Arithmetic Noncommutative Geometry*, University Lecture Series **36**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2005.

[19] Marker. D., *Model Theory: An Introduction*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **217**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[20] Nikolaev, I., On a real multiplication problem. arXiv:0804.0057 (2009).

[21] Poizat, B., *A Course in Model Theory.* (trans. by Moses Klein). Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 2000.

[22] Robinson, A., *Non-standard Analysis.* Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton U. Press, Princeton NJ, 1996.

[23] Schmidt, W.M., *Diophantine Approximation.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **785**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

[24] Serre, J.-P., Complex multiplication. in ' Algebraic Number Theory: Proceedings of an Instructional Conference Organized by the London Mathematical Society" (ed. by J. W. S. Cassels and Frölich), pp. 190197.

[25] Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[26] Stark H.M., Hilbert's twelfth problem and L-series. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **83**, No.5 (1977), 1072–1074.

[27] Taylor, L., A Nonstandard Approach to Real Multiplication math/0612184.

[28] Taylor, L, Higher Derivatives of L-series associated to Real Quadratic Fields math/0612186.

[29] Taylor, L., Line Bundles over Quantum Tori math.NT/0612189.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS – UNIDAD CUERNAVACA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTONOMA DE MÉXICO, AV. UNIVERSIDAD S/N, C.P. 62210 CUERNAVACA, MORELOS, MÉXICO

E-mail address: `tim@matcuer.unam.mx`